

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	- FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	· ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,219	11/02/2001	Johannes Maria Franciscus Gerardus Aerts	2183-5136US	6939
759	90 05/06/2003		•	
TRASK, BRITT & ROSSA			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2550 Salt Lake City, UT 84110			RAO, MANJUNATH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	/3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

/						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/004,219	AERTS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any reams	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\bigsilon]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 J</u>					
2a)□	,—	is action is non-final.	P. L. O. e. e. e. Marke			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
ر بــارد. Attachmen	-	5 p 5				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/004,219

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-44 are currently pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, 15-21, 33-34, 38, 41 drawn to a mucinase composition, mucinase peptide, and kit comprising the same classified in class 435, subclass 209.
- II. Claims 10, 22, 44 drawn to a method of therapeutic or prophylactic treatment of a subject against a disease, classified in class 424, subclass 94.1.
- III. Claims 11-14, 26-32, 39-40 drawn to polynucleotides, vectors and host cells, a kit comprising the nucleic acids, and a method of making the polypeptide using the host cells classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- IV. Claim 23-25, drawn to a chitin based article of manufacture, classified in class530, subclass 20.
- V. Claims 35-37, drawn to an antibody and kit comprising the antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- VI. Claim 42, drawn to a method of decomposing mucin, classified in class 435, subclass 99.
 - VII. Claim 43, drawn to a method of decomposing chitin, classified in class 435, subclass 99.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/004,219

Art Unit: 1652

Inventions I, III, IV, V are patentably distinct from each other. The polypeptide of group I, the polynucleotides of group III, the chitin based article of group IV, and the antibody of group V each comprise amino acid sequences and nucleotide sequences which are chemically unrelated, do not require each other for practice; have separate utilities, such as use of the group I polypeptide to catalyze a hydrolytic reaction versus the use of polynucleotide in a hybridization reaction and are subject to separate manufacture and sale. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search.

Inventions II, VI, VII are patentably distinct from each other. The method of therapeutic treatment of group II, the method of decomposing mucin of group VI, the method of decomposing chitin of group VI, are all unrelated as they comprise distinct steps, utilize different products and produce different results. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search as further evidenced by their separate classification.

Inventions I and II, VI, VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP \$806.05(h)). In the instant case the mucinase can be used for raising specific antibodies for sale as opposed to its use in the methods of the above group.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,219

Art Unit: 1652

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-306-5681. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0196.

Manjunath N. Rao

May 2, 2003